

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/580,194	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KUHMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH	<b>Art Unit</b> 1782	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH.

(2) Jacob A. Doughty.

**Date of Interview:** 13 December 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*1*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Examiner proposed Examiner's Amendment as detailed in the attached Notice of Allowance. Jacob A. Doughty authorized the Examiner's Amendment*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Walter B Aughenbaugh /  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1782

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** ~3:00PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)